

Dr. Ralph and Marian Falk Medical Research Trust

Catalyst and Transformational Awards Programs

Office Hours

April 9, 2024



MEET OUR TEAM



Who We Are



Lindsay Redman Rivera, Ph.D. (she/her)
Senior Grants Officer,
Biomedical Research Grantmaking



Britta Magnuson, D.M.D. (she/her)
Senior Scientific Advisor,
Biomedical Research Grantmaking

HRiA partners with individuals, organizations, and communities to transform the practices, policies, and systems that improve health and advance equity.



Program Goals



New in 2024

Only minor program changes:

- Commercialization potential: Applicant's should address current or future plans for licensing of technology if applicable.
- Investigator - initiated trials: Applicants should explicitly state the status of, or plan for obtaining FDA and/or IRB approvals
- Clarification on the eligibility window for Transformational applicants.

Program Overview

Catalyst Award

Transformational Award

Award Duration:

12– 24 months

24 – 36 months

Maximum Award Amount:
(including 10% indirect costs)

Up to \$350,000

Up to \$1,000,000

Application Deadline

Award Start



Award Notifications

Award End



Review Criteria

Translational Potential

- Can be transferred to clinical practice in the near term

Impact

- Addresses a critical scientific roadblock and will have high impact on patient lives if successful

InvestiB5 rg 0.3

Common Features of Successful Projects

- **High impact:** high potential to improve the lives of those suffering from a disease
- Translational in the **near term** (typically within 3 - 5 years)
- **Fill an unmet need** or improve upon existing products
- **Clear strategic path** to a \$1M Transformational award or the next step of commercial development.
- Combination of **expertise and infrastructure** in relevant areas (i.e. pharmacology, drug delivery, clinical trials, scaling & manufacturing, commercialization, specific techniques, etc.)
- **Solid project** : Sound precedents, preliminary data, and clear rationale; feasible and sufficiently powered; alternative approaches; appropriate and realistic budget and timeline.
- **Grantsmanship** : clear language and hypothesis understandable to a general audience and appropriate use of jargon and abbreviations.

Common Pitfalls

- Impact/critical need are lacking
- Project is heavily mechanistic and far from clinical practice
- Unclear path forward (to Transformational Award and beyond to clinical practice)
- Idea lacks innovation (e.g. therapeutic in a competitive commercial space without a plan for differentiation)
- Lacking the correct expertise
- Lacking in preliminary data or alternative approaches
- Poor grantsmanship, proposals include much jargon and are not understandable to a general audience.

Application Tips

- Recruit the right team: Include appropriate collaborations and/or shared leadership if it benefits the project
- Be realistic (in timeline, budgets, etc.)
- Avoid jargon and abbreviations; should be understandable to scientific generalists such as venture capital investors
- Be concise and clear (make it easy to read!)
- Include contingencies
- Know the competitive landscape
- Seek feedback (internally, externally, and across disciplines)

Example Reviewer Feedback

-

Example Reviewer Feedback

.

Example Reviewer Feedback

Investigative Team

- “This grant utilizes numerous novel techniques. While innovative, it is not clear that the PI has the resources, experience or collaborators for all of the novel techniques described.”
- “lack of understanding of what is needed to move to clinical development”
- “I am concerned that the team might not have the expertise to make the project work within the timeline suggested.”

Project and Grantsmanship

- “...focusing on a single therapeutic may not be the right approach.”
- “Densely written proposal with many crowded, small figures. It is not easy to read!”
- “the grant is not hypothesis - driven and there are concerns about feasibility that limit the transformational potential.”
- “contingencies and alternative plans should be addressed”
- “lack of experimental details and methods for validation/assessment of results”
- “Much of the proposal is early stage and unlikely to be ready for a Transformational award in 2 years.”
- “It is hard to determine the precedent and rationale from the description.”

